

US012094005B1

(12) United States Patent

Boyd et al.

(54) SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR A USER INTERFACE AND APPLICATION FOR SIMULATING CONSTRUCTION AND OPTIMIZATION OF AN INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

- (71) Applicant: BlackRock, Inc., New York, NY (US)
- Inventors: Stephen Boyd, Stanford, CA (US); Mykel Kochenderfer, Palo Alto (CA); Raphael Benarrosh, New York, NY (US); Pam Chan, New York, NY (US); Vidyasagar Vairavamurthy, Roselyn, NY (US); Garson Brandon Levy, New York, NY (US); Jonathan Callan, Hoboken, NJ (US); Pascal Nguyen, London (GB)
- (73) Assignee: BlackRock, Inc., New York, NY (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 17/861,520
- (22) Filed: Jul. 11, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Provisional application No. 63/270,337, filed on Oct. 21, 2021.
- (51) Int. Cl.

G06Q 40/06	(2012.01)
G06F 3/0482	(2013.01)
G06F 3/04845	(2022.01)
G06F 3/04847	(2022.01)

(10) Patent No.: US 12,094,005 B1 (45) Date of Patent: Sep. 17, 2024

(58) Field of Classification Search None See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,774,257	B2 *	8/2010	Maggioncalda	G06Q 40/00							
				705/36 R							
8,296,221	B1 *	10/2012	Waelbroeck	G06Q 40/04							
				705/37							
2002/0138383	A1*	9/2002	Rhee	G06Q 40/04							
				705/36 R							
(Continued)											

Primary Examiner — Narayanswamy Subramanian (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Haynes and Boone, LLP

(57) ABSTRACT

Embodiments directed to simulating a portfolio of alternative investments. Cash flow templates are generated from cash flow parameters. Cash flows for various asset classes of alternative investments are generated from the cash flow templates. A Markowitz optimization generates investment allocations into the asset classes using cash flows and a risk parameter, such that the investment allocations maximize a cash flow of the portfolio for a corresponding value of the risk parameter. The investment allocations into the asset classes as a function of risk are displayed on a first user interface and a cash flow corresponding to the investment allocations is displayed on a second user interface. The first and second interface are inter-related, such that a movement of a computer-generated marker along a risk axis in the first user interface that causes changes to the investment allocations also causes changes to the cash flow displayed on the second user interface.

18 Claims, 19 Drawing Sheets

<u>200</u>



(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2006/0020531 A1*	1/2006	Veeneman G06Q 40/00
		705/35
2008/0162238 A1*	7/2008	subbu G06Q 10/0637
		705/7.11
2015/0348195 A1*	12/2015	Weber G06Q 40/06
		705/36 R
2016/0110812 A1*	4/2016	Mun G06Q 40/06
		705/36 R
2018/0096432 A1*	4/2018	Varma G06Q 40/06

* cited by examiner



FIG. 1



FIG. 2











<u>500B</u>





 $\overline{600}$









<u>800A</u>









<u>800B</u>

0.8

0.6

cumulative allocation

0.4

 $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$

0.2

Sheet 10 of 19

<u>900A</u>



FIG. 9A



<u>900B</u>

Sheet 12 of 19



<u>1000A</u>

FIG. 10A



<u>1000B</u>

FIG. 10B

1100A





<u>1100B</u>







FIG. 12

FIG. 14

55

65

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR A USER INTERFACE AND APPLICATION FOR SIMULATING CONSTRUCTION AND OPTIMIZATION OF AN INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 63/270,337 filed on Oct. 21, 2021, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein in its entirety.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present application generally relates to simulations, and more specifically to a user interface and an application for simulating construction and optimization of a portfolio of alternative investments.

BACKGROUND

There are various techniques for constructing a portfolio of investments that has a predicted rate of return. However, these techniques may not accurately predict a return of a 25 portfolio of alternative investments.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is a block diagram illustrating a computing envi- 30 ronment where embodiments may be implemented.

FIG. **2** is a block diagram illustrating a portfolio optimizer, according to some embodiments.

FIG. **3** illustrates diagrams of cash flows and cumulative cash flows for different cash flow parameters, according to 35 some embodiments.

FIG. 4 illustrates a diagram of cash flows for an asset class for different values of a multiple of invested capital (MOIC) parameter with other cash flow parameters being fixed, according to some embodiments.

FIG. **5**A illustrates a diagram of a normalized portfolio cash flow, according to some embodiments.

FIG. **5**B illustrates diagrams of cumulative distribution functions for a multiple of invested capital (MOIC) parameter, a net present value (NPV) parameter, and an internal 45 rate of return (IRR) parameter of a portfolio having a normalized cash flow shown in FIG. **5**A.

FIG. **6** illustrates a diagram of optimized portfolio allocations as a function of risk, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7 illustrates a diagram of a cumulative investment plan for a portfolio, according to some embodiments.

FIGS. **8**A-B and **9**A-B illustrate diagrams of an investment plan and a cumulative investment plan for a portfolio, according to some embodiments.

FIGS. **10**A and **10**B illustrate diagrams of cumulative investment plans and investment plans for a portfolio implemented with a model predictive control (MPC) method, according to some embodiments.

FIGS. **11**A and **11**B illustrate diagrams of a user interface 60 with portfolio allocations as a function of risk diagram and investment schedule diagrams, according to some embodiments.

FIG. **12** illustrates a diagram of a cash flow of a portfolio, according to some embodiments.

FIG. **13** is a diagram of a method for simulating a portfolio, according to some embodiments.

FIG. **14** is a block diagram of a computer system where embodiments may be implemented, according to an embodiment.

Embodiments of the present disclosure and their advantages are best understood by referring to the detailed description that follows. It should be appreciated that like reference numerals are used to identify like elements illustrated in one or more of the figures, wherein showings therein are for purposes of illustrating embodiments of the present disclosure and not for purposes of limiting the same.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Provided are systems and methods for optimizing a portfolio of alternative investments. A cash flow for a portfolio may be generated from one-time or static allocation of commitments or investments into a set of asset classes. The portfolio is then optimized with the goal of achieving a high internal rate of return while controlling risk and respecting various constraints. A portfolio may also undergo multiple periods of investing. In a multi-period investing, commitments or investment allocations may be made over multiple periods. The commitments may be irrevocable and may not be changed once commitments are made. A portfolio where commitments are made over multiple periods may be optimized using a model-predictive control (MPC) method that takes into account previous commitments.

In some embodiments, a user interface of a portfolio optimizer may be provided. The user interface may include a diagram that illustrates commitments or investment allocations that may be made to various asset classes as a function of risk. For each risk value, the user interface may also illustrate diagrams showing cumulative commitments, commitments that may be made in the asset classes over multiple periods and a cash flow for a portfolio generated from the commitments. The user interface may also interrelate various diagrams where instructions that cause changes in one diagram, e.g. changes to a risk value, result in a real-time changes to other diagrams that show periodic commitments, cumulative commitments, and a cash flow for the portfolio.

FIG. 1 is an exemplary system 100 where embodiments can be implemented. System 100 includes a network 102. Network 102 may be implemented as a single network or a combination of multiple networks. For example, in various embodiments, network 102 may include the Internet or one or more intranets, landline networks, wireless networks, and/or other appropriate types of networks. Network 102 may be a small-scale communication network, such as a private or local area network, or a larger scale network, such as a wide area network. Network 102 may be accessible by various components of system 100.

System 100 also includes one or more computing devices 104 and servers 105, although only one is shown of each. Computing devices 104 may be portable and non-portable electronic devices under control of a user and configured to transmit, receive, and manipulate data, execute various applications, and communicate with other devices connected to network 102. Example computing devices 104 may be desktop computers, laptop computers, tablets, smartphones, wearable computing devices, eyeglasses that incorporate computing devices, implantable computing devices, etc.

In some embodiments, network **102** may also include servers **105**. Servers **105** may be computer software programs or hardware devices that allow computing devices **104** to access centralized applications, provide processing capabilities and facilitate distribution of data throughout network **102**. Servers **105** may also store data associated with various alternative investments in multiple asset classes, such as availability of the alternative investments, risk associated with alternative investments, and price of the alternative investments. The information about alternative investments may be obtained from other devices, e.g. other servers **105** connected to network **102** (not shown). Alternative investments are discussed in more detail below.

Computing devices **104** and servers **105** may execute a portfolio optimizer **106**. Portfolio optimizer **106** may gen- 10 erate simulations of portfolios of investments, including alternative investments, given a certain monetary commitment. The simulations may be shown on a user interface of portfolio optimizer **106** and provide a visual aide for identifying an optimized portfolio for a particular risk parameter. 15 The simulations may include assets from various asset classes that comprise the portfolio, cash flows from these assets and/or initial or periodic commitment(s) that may be made in the portfolio.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer **106** may 20 execute on computing device **104** or server **105**. In other embodiments, portfolio optimizer **106** may execute in part on one or more computing devices **104** and in part on one or more servers **105**. In some instances, a user interface portion of portfolio optimizer **106** may execute on computing device **25 104** and an optimization, simulation, and/or analytics portion may execute on server **105**. Portfolio optimizer **106** with portions executing on computing device **104** and server **105** may include a communication interface for receiving and transmitting data between the portions. **30**

FIG. 2 is a block diagram 200 illustrating a portfolio optimizer, according to some embodiments. Portfolio optimizer 106 may receive an investment amount 202. Investment amount 202 may be received as a one-time amount or over multiple time periods. Portfolio optimizer 106 simu- 35 lates commitments or investment allocations of different portions of investment amount 202 to various asset classes 204. Asset classes 204 may be alternative asset classes in some embodiments. An example alternative asset class 204 may include private equity, which includes companies not 40 listed on a public stock exchange, private debt or credit, hedge funds, real estate, commodities, infrastructure, collectables, structured products, etc. Portfolio optimizer 106 may simulate allocations of different portions of investment amount 202 to different asset classes 204 in portfolio 206. 45 The simulations may identify portfolio allocations that maximize cash flow from portfolio 206 while minimizing or controlling investment risk. In other words, considering n number of asset classes 204 (where n is a positive integer>0), portfolio optimizer 106 may seek to optimize invest- 50 ment amount **202**, such that amounts $\omega_i \ge 0$, i=1, ..., n of investment amount 202 are committed to each asset class i (one of asset classes 204), subject to constraints. These constraints may include limits on a portion of investment amount 202 in each one of asset classes 204 and a given total 55 budget B, with $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_n = B$. The total budget B may be investment amount 202.

In some embodiments, each one of asset classes **204** may have a cash flow over the life of the fund spanning T periods. Each period may include a predefined number of quarters, 60 e.g., T=60 quarters. The cash flow includes the effects of capital calls or contributions and capital distributions. Capital calls may be payments made to purchase the investments in asset classes **204**. Capital distributions may be payments received from the investments or from selling the invest-65 ments. A goal of portfolio optimizer **106** is to generate a portfolio allocation for portfolio **206** that achieves high 4

internal rate of return (IRR) for the whole portfolio **206** while controlling risk. In some embodiments, portfolio **206** may be represented by the n-vector w.

As discussed above, portfolio optimizer 106 may dynamically construct portfolio 206 over multiple periods. In a dynamic portfolio construction, commitments (e.g., portions of investment amount 202) are made over a set of periods $t=1, \ldots, S$, with S<<T (e.g., S=12 quarters), while the cash flows from these commitments extend over the longer time period t=1,..., T, with T>S. In this embodiment, $\omega_{is} \ge 0$ may denote the dollar amount of investment amount 202 that is committed to asset class i in period s. These commitments may be illiquid and irrevocable. In some embodiments, ω_{is} , for i=1, ..., n may be selected sequentially, i.e., first for s=1 (the initial commitments), next for s=2 (the second period commitments), and so on. The commitments made during period s may depend on updated forecasts available, and on past commitments. The past commitments may be suggested by portfolio optimizer 106. For example, suppose commitment of one million dollars is targeted for a particular asset class at a particular time period. However, a smaller commitment may actually be made due to limited deal availability. Alternatively, a larger commitment may be made if some very promising deals become available. In the multiperiod portfolio optimization, portfolio optimizer 106 may generate a policy 208. Policy 208 may be a method to choose the commitments ω_{is} , i=1, . . . , n, based on information available in period s as well as prior commitments. Cash Flow Metrics

In some embodiments, to determine policy 208, portfolio optimizer 106 may first determine cash flow from each asset in each asset class 204. For example, for a particular investment amount (e.g. a portion of investment amount 202) in an asset in one of asset classes 204, there may be a time series of capital calls c^{call} and capital distributions c^{dist} that occur over a time period T. The amount of a capital call at time t is c_t^{call} . The amount of a capital distribution at time t is c_t^{dist} . Both capital call c_t^{call} and capital distribution c_t^{dist} may be non-negative. The commitment of the investment, that is a portion of investment amount 202 in an asset, may be a total of the capital calls, $1^{T}c^{call}$, where 1 is the vector with all entries one. In some embodiments, the total commitment may be less than the commitment predicted using portfolio optimizer 106 due to availability of one or more assets in asset classes 204. The undiscounted total of the capital distributions received from the investment in an asset in one of asset classes **204** may be $1^T c^{dist}$.

In some embodiments, the cash flow metrics may be based on various cash flow parameters. These parameters may include a multiple of invested capital ("MOIC") parameter, weighted average lifetime ("WAL") of the capital calls and distributions, dispersion parameter, and net and cumulative cash flow parameter, net present value ("NPV") parameter, internal rate of return ("IRR") parameter, and commitment normalized cash flows parameter. Each of those parameters are discussed below.

MOIC: A ratio of the total of capital calls to the amount of capital distributions may be referred to as the multiple of invested capital or MOIC. MOIC may be determined as shown below:

$$M = \frac{1^T c^{dist}}{1^T c^{call}}$$
 Eq. (1)

When M<1, the total cash received from an asset is less than the total cash put into an asset, and may be referred to as an undiscounted loss.

WAL and dispersion: as discussed above, the capital call at time tis c_t^{call} and the amount of distributions at time t is 5 c_t^{dist} . The capital calls and the amount of distributions illustrate the cadence of the cash flows. Suppose a non-zero and non-negative c* denotes either a capital call or a distribution cash flow. In this case, the weighted average lifetime (WAL) of the calls or distributions may be deter- 10 mined as follows:

$$W^* = \frac{1}{1^T c^*} \sum_{t=1}^T t c_t^*$$
 Eq. (2)

The WAL W* has units of time (i.e., periods), and gives a rough summary of when the cash either goes out (for calls) or comes in (for distributions). The dispersion of calls or $_{20}$ distributions may be illustrated as follows:

$$D^* = \left(\frac{1}{1^T c^*} \sum_{t=1}^T (t - W^*) 2_{c_t^*}\right)^{1/2}$$
 Eq. (3)

Dispersion D* also has units of time. The dispersion D* indicates how concentrated in time the cash flow is. The WAL W* and dispersion D* are the mean and standard $_{30}$ deviation of the time period when the cash flow is normalized to the sum to one, i.e., to be a probability distribution.

Net and cumulative cash flow: in some embodiments, the net cash flow vector c may be defined as:

 $c = c^{dist} - c^{call}$ Eq. (4) 35

If $c_r < 0$, then there is a net flow into the investment. In this case, the capital calls exceed capital distributions in period t. If $c_r > 0$, then capital distributions exceed capital calls and there is a net flow out of the investment over period t.

In some embodiments, the cumulative cash flow, denoted C_r , may be defined as:

$$C_t = c_1 + \dots + c_p t = 1, \dots, T$$
 Eq. (5)

The $C_T = 1^T c$ is the total (undiscounted) net cash flow. The $C_T < 0$, represents an undiscounted loss. Further, the cumulative cash flow C may be decomposed into the cumulative capital calls C^{call} and the cumulative distributions C^{dist} , such that $C = C^{dist} - c^{call}$.

In some embodiments, the MOIC can be expressed in terms of the terminal cumulative net cash flow as follows:

$$M = \frac{c_T^{dist}}{c_T^{call}} = \frac{c_T}{c_T^{call}} + 1$$
 Eq. (6)

NPV and IRR: in some embodiments, the net present value ("NPV") at a discount rate r may be represented as follows:

$$N(r) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{c_t}{(1+r)^t}$$
 Eq. (7)

The N(r) may be a linear function of the cash flow c. N(r) may be decomposed into the NPV due to the capital calls

(which is negative) and the NPV due to the distributions (which is positive), as follows:

$$N(r) = -N^{call}(r) + N^{call}(r)$$
 Eq. (8)

with

15

25

40

60

$$N^{*}(r) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{c_{t}^{*}}{(1+r)^{t}}$$
 Eq. (9)

where * represents either a call or a distribution.

The internal rate of return ("IRR") may be the smallest rate r (in absolute value) for which N(r)=0, or as follows:

$$N^{call}(r)=N^{dist}(r)$$
 Eq. (10)

The IRR may not be defined when there is no rate r for which N(r)=0, for example, when the cash flow is nonzero and nonnegative.

Commitment normalized cash flows: in some embodiments, cash flows may be normalized to a unit commitment. In this case, the cash flows may be scaled so that $1^{T}c^{call}=C_{T}^{call}=1$. For a normalized cash flow, the distributions in period t per dollar of commitment may be interpreted as c_{t}^{dist} , and similarly for c_{t} and C_{t} . For the normalized cumulative cash flow, the MOIC may be $C_{T}+1$.

Cash Flow Templates

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer **106** may generate cash flow templates **210** using one or more cash flow parameters discussed above. To generate cash flow templates **210**, capital calls and distributions may be modeled as having a Gaussian shape or a truncated Gaussian shape over time. A normalized capital call cash flow c_t^{call} , for example, may be modeled as follows:

$$c_r^{call} = \propto \exp{-\frac{(t - \mu^{call})^2}{2(\sigma^{call})^2}}, t = 1, \dots T$$
 Ex. (11)

where μ^{call} and σ^{call} are positive parameters, and α is the normalizing constant that may be determined as follows:

$$\alpha = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \exp - \left(t - \mu^{call}\right)^2 / 2(\sigma^{call})^2\right)^{-1}$$
 Eq. (12)

Eqs. (11) and (12) may ensure that $1^{T}c^{call}=1$. Parameters μ^{call} and σ^{call} may define the shape of the capital calls over time. Roughly, μ^{call} is the time of the largest capital call, or the time when half the committed capital has been called, and σ^{call} describes the dispersion of the capital calls around that time. Parameter μ^{call} is approximately the undiscounted WAL of the capital calls, and parameter σ^{call} is approximately the dispersion of the capital calls.

The normalized distributions c_t^{dist} may also have a Gaussian shape or a truncated Gaussian shape and may be modeled 65 as follows:

$$c_t^{dist} = \propto \exp((t - \mu^{dist})^2 / 2(\sigma^{dist})^2, t = 1, \dots, T$$
 Eq. (13)

20

where μ_{dist} and σ_{dist} are positive parameters, and a is the normalizing constant that may be determined as follows:

$$\alpha = M \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \exp \left((t - \mu^{dist})^2 / 2 (\sigma^{dist})^2 \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1}$$
 Eq. (14) 5

where M is the MOIC.

As illustrated above, cash flow templates 210 may be 10 modeled using multiple cash flow parameters from the cash flow metrics. In a non-limiting embodiment, these parameters may be M (MOIC), μ^{call} representing an approximate time when half of the capital has been called, σ^{call} representing dispersion in time of the capital calls, μ_{dist} representing the approximate time when half of the distributions have been made, and σ_{dist} representing the dispersion in time of the distributions. Cash flow templates 210 may be defined using different values for the cash flow parameters for different asset classes 204. An example cash flow template 210 for asset classes 204 that include a buyout, a venture capital, a real estate equity, a private credit, and an infrastructure classes with corresponding values for cash flow parameters M, μ^{call} , σ^{call} , μ^{dist} , and σ^{dist} is illustrated in 25 Table I, below.

TABLE I

Asset class	М	μ^{call}	σ^{call}	μ ^{dist}	σ^{dist}	30
Buyout	1.63	12	12	31	9	
Venture Capital	1.34	11	10	32	9	
Real Estate Equity	1.46	9	8	25	8	
Private Credit	1.27	7	6	21	5	
Infrastructure	1.51	8	8	26	8	
						25

FIG. 3 illustrates is a diagram 300 illustrating graphs for cash flows and cumulative cash flows for two sets of cash flow parameters over the period T=60, according to some embodiments. The two left graphs illustrate cash flows and 40 cumulative cash flows for cash flow parameters M=1.8 and IRR=3.91%, which represents 15.7% annual returns. The two right graphs illustrate cash flows and cumulative cash flows for cash flow parameters M=0.9, which represents an undiscounted loss, and IRR=-0.45%, which represents 45 approximately -1.8% annual returns. Stochastic Model of MOIC

Going back to FIG. 2, in some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may use a log-normal MOIC model to model the uncertainty in cash flows. For a single alternative invest- 50 ment cash flow, an uncertainty in an investment cash flow may be modeled by treating the MOIC parameter M in the cash flow template as a random variable, with the other cash flow parameters being fixed depending on the parameters' asset class. In some instances, a log-normal distribution may 55 be used to model parameter M. This means that log M~ $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where μ is the mean of the log MOIC, and σ is a standard deviation of M. These are unrelated to the μ and σ that define the shape of the capital calls and capital distributions over time. The cash flow parameters have units 60 of time periods, while the statistical parameters for the distribution of MOIC M are unitless.

Because the MOIC M follows a log-normal distribution, both the median and mode of the MOIC M are e^{μ} . The mean MOIC M is $e^{\mu+\sigma^2/2}$. The probability of M \leq M^{tar} is $\Phi((\log$ 65 $M^{tar}-\mu/\sigma$, where Φ is a cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a standard Gaussian distribution. For example, the

probability of an undiscounted loss, i.e., M≤1, is $\Phi(-\mu/\sigma)$. The q-quantile of the MOIC is $exp(\mu+\sigma\Phi^{-1}(q))$.

FIG. 4 is a diagram 400 illustrating a graph having cash flows for an asset class for different values of MOIC M with other cash flow parameters remaining fixed, according to some embodiments. In FIG. 4, an asset class may be a buyout asset class. The cash flow parameters for the buyout asset class are from Table I, above, with µ=0.293 and σ =0.492 and for ten different values of MOIC M.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may model the MOIC M of multiple asset classes 204, such as n possible asset classes 204, as a jointly log-normal. In this case, M is an n-vector of MOICs (vector M) over asset classes **204** and log M~ $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, where portfolio optimizer **106** applies the log elementwise to the vector M. The mean of log MOIC is specified by the vector $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$. One way to specify the covariance matrix Σ is in terms of the marginal standard deviations of log MOIC, denoted σ_i , i=1, ..., n and the correlations between log MOIC across asset classes 204, denoted ρ_{ii} , i, j=1, ..., n. In this case, the covariance matrix 2 may be defined as follows:

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n \qquad \text{Eq. (15)}$$

Table 2, below, illustrates some values for the mean u and standard deviation σ parameters of the log MOIC model for the five asset classes 204, including buyout, venture capital, real estate equity, private credit, and infrastructure:

TABLE II

	μ	σ	
Buyout	0.486	0.287	
Venture Capital	0.293	0.492	
Real Estate Equity	0.376	0.215	
Private Credit	0.238	0.130	
Infrastructure	0.412	0.227	

Table 3, below, provides correlations between the log MOIC of the five asset classes 204, including buyout, venture capital, real estate equity, private credit, and infrastructure:

TABLE III

	Buyout	Venture Capital	R. E. Equity	Private Credit	Infra- structure
Buyout Venture Capital Real Estate Equity	1.00 0.59 0.78	0.59 1.00 0.51	0.78 0.51 1.00	0.74 0.45 0.62	0.71 0.42 0.79
Infrastructure	0.74 0.71	0.45 0.42	0.62	0.61	1.00

Portfolio optimizer 106 may simulate cash flows for portfolio 206 using a portion of investment amount 202, such as amount $\omega_i \ge 0$, in each of n asset classes 204 and according to various cash flow templates 210. Portfolio optimizer 106 may determine the amount of cash flow from the portfolio 206 of investments, by scaling the normalized cash flows for each asset class and adding the normalized cash flows. For example, the portfolio cash flow may be

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i c_i,$$

where c_i is the normalized cash flow of asset class i in asset classes 204. The portfolio cash flow p may be a T-vector, which gives the total net cash flow of the portfolio 206 in

each time period. It is random, since the MOIC of the asset classes, the vector M, is random. This means that any metric of the portfolio cash flow p, for example IRR, is also random.

Portfolio optimizer 106 may evaluate a distribution of various cash flow metrics in portfolio 206, including MOIC, NPV, or IRR metrics discussed above. To evaluate the cash flow metrics, portfolio optimizer 106 may use a Monte Carlo simulation. In the Monte Carlo simulation, portfolio optimizer 106 may draw a large number of samples of M from the log-normal distribution and evaluate the cash flow metrics on the portfolio cash flows.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may evaluate the 30^{th} percentile IRR for portfolio 206, the 15 probability of an undiscounted loss, or the probability that the IRR exceeds some target IRR. Portfolio optimizer 106 may plot the median and other quantiles of cumulative cash flow C_t versus t. These simulations are useful in understanding the realized cash flows and resulting performance of 20 portfolio **206**. Notably, for each portfolio cash flow p_{r} , the portfolio cash flow in period t, is not a log-normal, but is a sum of log-normals.

FIG. 5A is a diagram 500A of a graph illustrating ten simulations of a normalized portfolio cumulative cash flow ²⁵ from the five asset classes discussed above and with a uniform commitment of 0.2 from investment amount 202 in each asset class, according to some embodiments. FIG. 5B is a diagram 500B of graphs illustrating the cumulative distribution functions of MOIC, IRR, and NPV metrics for a portfolio with the cumulative cash flow in FIG. 5A. The MOIC, IRR, and NPV metrics may be obtained by Monte Carlo simulation, where NPV has rate r=2% and corresponds to an annual interest rate=8%. FIG. 5B illustrates that 35 the probability of an undiscounted loss is around 2%, while the probability of IRR is less than 4% which corresponds to an annual interest rate of around 13%. The simulations take into account a number of aspects of portfolio 206, including the divergent cash flow shapes for the divergent asset classes $_{40}$ **204**, the uncertainty in the performance for each asset class **204**, and the correlations among the asset classes **204**.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may evaluate portfolio NPV mean and variance. Suppose for fixed values of the cash flow parameters, the NPV of a cash 45 flow, at any discount rate, is an affine function of the MOIC, and has a form of N(r)=a+bM, where:

$$a = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{c_t^{call}}{(1+r)^t}$$
 Eq. (16)

is the NPV of the normalized capital calls, and is negative, and

$$b = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{c_t^{dist}}{(1+r)^t}$$
 Eq. (17)

is the NPV of the normalized distributions when M=1, and is positive.

For each asset class i, portfolio optimizer 106 may precompute the coefficients a_i and b_i , which depend on the cash flow parameters μ_i^{call} , σ_i^{call} , μ_i^{dist} , σ_i^{dist} , and the interest rate 65 r. The interest rate may be around 0.0194, which corre-

sponds to an annual rate=8%. Eq. (18), below, demonstrates a relationship between the vector of asset NPV N and the vector of asset MOIC M:

N=a+diag(b)MEq. (18)

Table IV, below, illustrates the coefficients a and b for the five example asset classes 204, using an 8% interest rate. The coefficients vary for the five asset classes 204, due to different curve shapes for cash flow parameters in cash flow templates 210 for different asset classes 204:

TABLE IV

	а	b
Buyout	-0.752	0.559
Venture Capital	-0.777	0.549
Real Estate Equity	-0.813	0.625
Private Credit	-0.862	0.670
Infrastructure	-0.841	0.613

The random variable M may be log-normal. The mean and covariance matrix of the random variable M may be given by the following formulas, below:

$$\sum_{ij}^{M} = e^{\mu_{i} + \mu_{j} + (\sum ji + \sum jj)/2} (e^{\sum jj} - 1)$$
 Eq. (20)

Using the formula above that determines NPV N in terms of M, the mean and covariance of the NPV N of the asset classes may be determined as follows:

$$\mu^{N} = a + \operatorname{diag}(b)\mu^{M}$$
 Eq. (21)

$$\Sigma^{N} = \operatorname{diag}(b)\Sigma^{M}\operatorname{diag}(b)$$
 Eq. (22)

For a portfolio of commitments denoted by $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the portfolio NPV mean and variance may be represented as follows:

$$(\mu^N)^T w Eq. (23)$$

$$w^T \Sigma^N w$$
 Eq. (24)

This is identical in form to standard Markowitz portfolio allocation, but with a mean vector and covariance matrix that depend on the interest rate, the asset class cash flow shapes, as well as the means, standard deviations, and correlations of log MOIC for different asset classes 204.

In some embodiments, the NPV of a normalized cash flow with a suitably chosen discount rate may be used as a 50 surrogate for IRR for the asset classes 204 in portfolio 206. In other words, maximizing NPV may not be too far from maximizing IRR.

For conventional cash flows, NPV and IRR are almost unrelated, with just a few relationships between them, such as $N(r) \le 0$ implying that IRR is no more than r. Also, for conventional cash flows, a fixed NPV may also lead to many different values of IRR, and vice versa. But for asset class cash flow shapes, as well as for portfolio 206 constructed 60 from the asset class cash flow shapes, NPV and IRR may be more closely related. In some embodiments, the relationship between NPV and IRR may be a monotone relationship, which is closest when the NPV is near zero. The NPV at an interest rate equal to the IRR is zero.

Markowitz Optimization

55

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may optimize portfolio 206 of alternative investments using

Markowitz optimization. To optimize portfolio **206**, portfolio optimizer **106** determines w or amount of investments from investment amount **202** that may be made in each asset class in asset classes **204**. Portfolio optimizer **106** may optimize portfolio **206** as follows:

maximize $(\mu^N)^T w - \gamma w^T \Sigma^N w$

subject to $w \in W$, Eq. (25)

with variable $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where W is the set of feasible portfolios and $\gamma > 0$ is the risk aversion parameter. A typical choice of W would include lower and upper limits on investment in each asset class and a total budget constraint:

 $w^{min} \le w \le w^{max}, 1^T w = B$ Eq. (26)

where B is the given budget (total to be committed), w^{min} ¹⁵ and w^{max} are minimum and maximum amounts to be invested in each asset class in asset classes **204** and $w^{min} \ge 0$.

12

indices: i representing an asset class, s representing a period when the investment was made, and t representing a time period the payment is made.

In some embodiments, an n×S matrix w may represent commitments made to different asset classes **204** in different periods. Each row in matrix w represents commitments to a particular asset class made over different periods. Each column in matrix w represents the commitments across asset classes **204** made in a specific period. The sum of each row, given by the n-vector w1, is the total commitments made in each asset class in asset classes **204**. The sum of each column, given by the S-vector w^T1, is the total commitments made in each period s. Table V, below, illustrates a commitment plan for commitments in the five asset classes **204** (buyout, venture capital, real estate equity, private credit, and infrastructure) over 12 periods (S=12).

TABLE V

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	total
Buyout	0.085	0.034	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.013	0.012	0.051	0.060	0.300
Venture	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Capital													
Real Estate	0.000	0.029	0.045	0.045	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.038	0.047	0.048	0.023	0.015	0.300
Equity													
Private	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Credit													
Infrastructure	0.015	0.037	0.039	0.039	0.007	0.001	0.000	0.032	0.040	0.040	0.026	0.026	0.300
total	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.017	0.001	0.001	0.081	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.900

FIG. **6** is a diagram **600** of a graph illustrating cumulative portfolio allocation as a function of a risk aversion parameters, according to some embodiments. FIG. **6** illustrates a ³⁵ portfolio having five asset classes, including buyout, infrastructure, private credit, real estate equity, and venture capital classes. In FIG. **6**, the risk aversion parameter γ is varied, for the five asset classes, using the data parameters above, including a budget B=1, w^{min}=0, w^{max}=1, and inter-40 est rate r=0.025 (10% annual) to find the NPVs. At each value for the risk aversion parameter along the x-axis, different cumulative portfolio allocations may be made to portfolio **206**. Notably, in this embodiment, portfolio optimizer **106** may elect to not invest in venture capital asset 45 class.

Multi-Period Optimization

Portfolio optimizer **106** may optimize portfolio **206** over multiple periods. Optimization of portfolio **206** over multiple periods is also known as multi-period optimization. In 50 the multi-period optimization, portfolio optimizer **106** optimizes a sequence of investments over some periods in some or all asset classes **204**.

Portfolio optimizer **106** may receive cash flows for investments made in different periods. To simulate multi-period 55 optimization, the cash flow model may be modified by shifting the cash flow for an investment made in period s to start at t=s. The cash flow for such an investment may be set to 0 for t<s. Portfolio optimizer **106** may then allocate commitments for each period s to different asset classes **204** 60 and simulate cash flows for portfolio **206** over each period s.

In some embodiments, commitments made over multiple periods may be represented as w_{is} , $i=1, \ldots, n$, and $s=1, \ldots, S$, where $\omega_{is} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}$ is the investment in asset class 65 i in period s. Here S may be the last investment period. Cash flows and cumulative cash flows may be indexed using three

FIG. 7 is a diagram 700 illustrating a graph of a cumulative commitment plan for a portfolio, according to some embodiments. The cumulative commitment plan in FIG. 7 spans twelve quarterly periods and is shown as a stack plot of cumulative commitments. The five asset classes 204 include buyout, venture capital, real estate equity, private credit, and infrastructure. In the commitment plan illustrated in FIG. 7, the portfolio optimizer 106 may not invest in the venture capital asset class, and begins investing in the private credit asset class in quarter 4 (Q4).

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer **106** may determine a portfolio cash flow or cumulative cash flow for multi-period investments by determining the sum the cash flows from all nS investments. For example the cumulative portfolio cash flow may be determined as follows:

$$C_t = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{is} C_{ist}, t = 1, \dots, T$$
 Eq. (27)

where C_{ist} is the cumulative normalized cash flow in period t associated with the commitment made in asset class i in period s.

The M_{is} may denote a MOIC of investments made in asset class i in period s. In this case, M represents a matrix indexed by asset class i and by investment period s, or strung out as a vector with nS entries. The nS entries of M may be modeled as jointly log-normal. The log M (entry-wise) may be a Gaussian variable, with a mean and covariance matrix. The mean may be represented by His. The covariance may be an object indexed by four indices, discussed below.

The σ_{is} represents a standard deviation of M_{is} .

The correlations may be given as follows:

$$\rho_{i,s,i's}, i,i'=1, \ldots, n, s, s'=1, \ldots S$$

15

30

35

40

45

55

60

65

The covariance matrix entries may be as follows:

Eq. (29) $\Sigma_{i,s,i',s'} = \sigma_{i,s} \sigma_{i',s'} \rho_{i,s,i's'}$

where $\rho_{i,s,i',s'}$ may be a correlation of the log MOIC of asset class i, invested in period s, and the log MOIC of asset class i', invested in period s'. As illustrated in Eq. (29), there is a correlation among different asset classes 204 for the same period and also correlations among log MOICs for different vintage periods.

In some embodiments, to factor assets during a period, a 10 factorized form for the correlations may be used. The factorized form may be determined as follows:

$$\rho_{i,s,i's} = \rho_{i,i'} \frac{class}{\rho_{|s-s'|}} \rho_{|s-s'|}$$
Eq. (30)

where $\rho_{i,i'}{}^{class}$ may be a correlation of asset classes i and i', and $\rho_{\tau}{}^{vint}$ may be a correlation of any asset class between investments made τ periods apart. This results in an asset class correlation matrix, which is n×n, and the correlations across periods represented by a $\rho_1^{vint}, \ldots, \rho_{S-1}^{vint}$, where 20 $\rho_0^{vint} 0=1.$

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may model the correlations across periods as follows:

where T^{corr} is the number of periods over which investments are correlated. Thus, the correlation across time linearly decreases to zero. In this model, investments made τ periods apart overlap for \mathbf{T}^{corr} periods, with the performance in different periods independent of the others.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may optimize portfolio 206 using the Markowitz optimization over multiple periods. The optimization involves investments in a set of nS asset/vintage classes. Here, w may be an nS vector. Vector w may correspond to an entire investment schedule.

Portfolio optimizer 106 may also use the methods described above to find the mean and covariance of the NPV of these nS assets at a specified interest rate r. The mean of the NPV may be denoted as the nS-vector μ^N and the covariance of NPV may be denoted as symmetric nS×nS matrix Σ^N , respectively.

Similarly to the Markowitz optimization over a single period shown in Eq (25), the w for the Markowitz optimization over multiple periods may be represented as follows:

maximize
$$(\mu^N)^T w - \gamma w^T \Sigma^N w$$

subject to
$$w \in W$$
 Eq. (32)

The feasible set W (set of feasible portfolios) may contain the lower and upper limits on the individual weights as follows:

 $w_{is}^{min} \leq w \leq w_{is}^{max}, i=1, \ldots, n, s=1, \ldots, S$ Eq. (33)

The lower and upper limits on the total amount invested in each period s, denoted B_s (portions of investment amount **202** for period s), may be as follows:

$$B_s^{min} \le B_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{is} \le B_s^{max}, s = 1, \dots, S$$
 Eq. (34)

14

The lower and upper bounds on the total amount invested in each asset class i over all investment periods may be as follows:

$$\tilde{B}_i^{min} \le \sum_{n=1}^{S} w_{is} \le \tilde{B}_i^{max}, i = 1, \dots, n$$
Eq. (35)

The total budget B (investment amount 202) may be as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{S} w_{is} = B$$
 Eq. (36)

In Eqs. (33)-(35), w may be an n×S matrix. Further, in Eqs. (33)-(35), the individual weight limit w_{is}^{max} may be compared to B/(nS), which is the weight values if equal investments are carried out in all periods s and over all asset classes 204. The limit on total invested per period, B_s^{max} may be compared to B/S, the per-period investment if the budget was uniformly across the investment periods. The limit of total investment in asset classes, B_i^{max} may be compared to B/n, which is the asset class investments if the asset class investments are all equal.

In some embodiments, the investments made during each period may be constrained on per-period budgets. The per-period budgets may be fixed to given values, which is the same as taking $B_s^{min} = B_s^{max}$. Both B_s^{min} and B_s^{max} may be denoted as B_s , such that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} B_s = B.$$

Alternatively, the budget constrained may be dropped when per-period budgets are fixed.

In some embodiments, uniform commitments may be $B_s=B/S$, $s=1, \ldots, S$. In other embodiments, a variation of the commitments may take place as follows:

where $\theta_s \ge 0$ and $\Sigma_{s-1}^s \theta_s = 1$, so θ_s is a fraction of the total budget to invest in period s. The choice

 $\theta_s = \frac{1}{S}$

50 also generates uniform investing as described above.

In some embodiments, investments may be tilted or skewed using the equation below:

$$\theta_s = \frac{w^s}{w + w^2 + \dots + w^s}, s = 1, \dots, S$$
 Eq. (38)

where w is a parameter greater than zero (w>0). For w<1, the investments are skewed toward early investment periods. For w>1, the investments are skewed toward later investment periods. For example, when

$$w = (1/2)^{1/(S-1)},$$

an investment is twice as much in the initial period s=1 as in the last investment period s=S. In other words, the commitment or deployment of investment amount 202 is front loaded over multiple investment periods.

In some embodiments, for fixed per-period commitments, 5 elementwise lower and upper bounds on the individual commitments may be imposed. The lower and upper bounds may be proportional to the per-period budget. The example, lower and upper bounds may be set as follows:

$$w_{is}^{min} = \eta_i^{min} B_s, w_{is}^{max} = \eta_i^{max} B_s,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n, s = 1, \dots, S \qquad \text{Eq. (39)}$$

where η_i^{min} and η_i^{max} may be interpreted respectively as the minimum and maximum fraction of the per-period budget 15 that is committed to asset class i in each period s.

The portfolio optimizer 106 solves the Markowitz problem of Eq. (32) and generates w, that is a plan for investing in the asset classes $i=1, \ldots, n$ over periods $s=1, \ldots, S$. The solution takes into account correlations between asset 20 classes 204, correlations across time periods, and shapes of the cash flows associated with the commitments to different asset classes 204 made during different periods s.

Consider an example with the five asset classes 204 discussed above, interest rate r=0.025 (which corresponds to 25 10% annual), S=12 investments periods, and time correlation T^{corr}=5. For a total budget B=1, per-period budget constraints may be w=0.95, which means that in the investment plans, the last period budget is around 57% as much as the first period budget. When the asset class limit is 30 $B_i^{max}=0.3$, no more than 30% of investments can be invested in any one asset class i. The elementwise limits may be set to $\eta_i^{max}=0.40$, which means that in any one period, investments cannot be concentrated more than 40% in any one asset class i. Further, the risk aversion value may be set to 35 γ =2.5, which yields an expected NPV=0.37, standard deviation of NPV=0.21, a medium IRR=12.08%, and 10th percentile IRR=5.59%. FIG. 8A is a diagram 800A illustrating a graph of a per-period investment plan for portfolio that allocates assets to asset classes 204 during each period and 40 ments made, as well as updated forecasts of asset class using the parameters discussed above. FIG. 8B is a diagram **800**B of a graph illustrating a cumulative investment plan of the portfolio in FIG. 8A. FIGS. 8A and 8B illustrate that portfolio optimizer 106 simulates portfolio 206 with investment allocations to four out of five available asset classes 45 204 over 12 investment periods.

Handling Previous Investments

As discussed above, portfolio optimizer 106 may generate an investment plan that allocates investments to each asset class i in each period s. The investment plan generally may 50 start at period s=1 and end at period s=S. In some instances, the investment plan may begin at period s, where s>1. This case assumes that some investments have already been made in previous periods $t=1, \ldots, s-1$. To handle this scenario, portfolio optimizer 106 may constrain the investments made 55 in previous periods to be the actual investments made, and then solve the Markowitz problem in Eq (32). This may be accomplished by adding equality constraints, or by setting the lower and upper bounds on W_{is} to be equal to the previous investments. The portfolio optimizer 106 may take 60 into account the future effects of these previous investments in generating investment plan for the remainder of the investment period t=s, . . . , S.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may constrain the previous investments to be equal to the actual 65 values. For example, the actual investments in previous periods may violate a limit on the per-period budget or on

the individual investments in the asset classes 204. To handle this scenario, portfolio optimizer 106 may ignore the per-period and individual investment limits for t=1, ..., s-1 because these investments have already been made. In another example, the total of previous investments in an asset class i may exceed the maximum allowable investment in asset class i. In this scenario, portfolio optimizer 106 may increase the maximum investment limit to equal the amount previously invested, which bars further investments in asset 10 class i. The per-period budget based on the original total budget minus the actual total amount invested in the previous periods may also be adjusted.

FIGS. 9A and 9B are diagrams 900A and 900B illustrate graphs of a per-period investment plan and a cumulative investment plan for a portfolio, according to some embodiments. For example, portfolio optimizer 106 may generate a per-period investment plan and a cumulative investment portfolio for portfolio 206 after initial commitments were already made, according to some embodiments. In FIGS. 9A and 9B, portfolio optimizer 106 generates an investment plan for investing in periods $t=5, \ldots, 12$ assuming that for periods t=1, ..., 5 an amount B/(nS)=0.01667 has already been committed to each asset class i in asset classes 204. FIGS. 9A and 9B illustrate the investment with the present period t=6. The vertical lines delineate the past investments periods $t=1, \ldots, 5$, the present investment periods t=6, and the future investments periods t=7, ..., 12. A comparison between the graphs in diagrams 900A and 900B, and diagrams 800A and 800B illustrates a change in investment plan for periods $t=6, \ldots, 12$ in light of commitments made in periods $t=1, \ldots, 5$.

Model Predictive Control

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may use model predictive control (MPC) method that leverages the multi-period optimization described above to obtain an investment policy **208**. Policy **208** may suggest investments to be made in periods t=1, ..., S. In each period t, portfolio optimizer 106 suggests investments w, to be made in that time period, taking into account the actual previous investreturns. The investment plan that portfolio optimizer 106 obtains by solving the Markowitz problem in Eq. (32) may be considered an open-loop policy, i.e., a prescription for what to invest in is fixed once and for all, and may not change. To generate an investment plan, portfolio optimizer 106 may solve the Markowitz problem in Eq. (32) to determine w, which is a plan for investing. The aim is to invest across asset classes 204 in period t=1 using the suggested amount w1. However, in some embodiments, due to availability of potential investments, entire amount w₁ may not be invested in t=1. At period t=2, the amount w_1 may be fixed to be equal to the actual investments made in period t=1, not the amount the portfolio optimizer 106 suggested. Portfolio optimizer 106 may solve the big Markowitz problem again with the w_1 being what was actually invested. The investments in the plan during period t=2 may be in the target amounts ω_2 . Once again, the investments may not be the exact amount as the target amounts ω_2 . This process may be repeated for each investment period, until t=S, when all investments have been made, or until the total investment period ends without all investments having been made.

By using the MPC method, portfolio optimizer **106** may adapt to the uncertainty in the actual investments made, versus what the policy 208 suggests. Portfolio optimizer 106 may also adapt to changes in the budget, for example, when the total amount invested in one period is less (or more) than

planned, leaving more (or less) available for future investing. Adaptation may be useful in some cases. For example, distributions may be reinvested during the investment period (instead of passing distributions through to the client) by simply increasing the per-period budget B_t by the distributions received over the previous period s–1.

In some embodiments, investments may also be adapted in response to economic indicators or other features that have changed and have had an impact on investments.

An example below, illustrates the portfolio optimizer **106** 10 using the MPC method. Suppose portfolio optimizer **106** generates an investment plan as shown in Table VI below.

Tables VI-VIII illustrate that portfolio optimizer **106** may adapt to investments that were actually made rather than the investments that were proposed over the investment period. FIG. **10**A illustrates a diagram **1000**A of graphs showing cumulative investment plans for a portfolio implemented with a model predictive control method (MPC), according to some embodiments. Graph **1002**A illustrates an investment plan without an MPC method. Graphs **1004**A-**1012**A illustrate an investment plan that is adjusted using the MPC method by the actual investment rather than predictive investment at each period from Q1 to Q6. FIG. **10B** illustrates a diagram of graphs showing per-period investment

	TABLE VI												
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	total
Buyout	0.056	0.050	0.043	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.030	0.027	0.024	0.022	0.019	0.017	0.300
Capital	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Real Estate Equity	0.028	0.026	0.045	0.041	0.037	0.033	0.030	0.020	0.012	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.300
Private Credit	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.041	0.037	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.100
Infrastructure	0.056	0.049	0.024	0.020	0.018	0.015	0.015	0.020	0.024	0.022	0.019	0.017	0.300
total	0.139	0.125	0.113	0.102	0.091	0.082	0.074	0.067	0.060	0.054	0.049	0.044	1.000

The column labeled Q1 in Table VI illustrates the target investment for period Q1. Table VII illustrates an investment plan portfolio optimizer **106** generates for period Q2. The column labeled Q1 in Table VII illustrates the actual investments that were made in period Q1, which are different from the investments proposed for period Q1 in Table VI. plans generated with portfolio optimizer **106** using the MPC method. Graph **1002**B illustrates an investment plan for each period without an MPC method. Graphs **1004B-1012**B illustrate an investment plan that is adjusted using the MPC method by the actual investment rather than predictive investment at each period from Q1 to Q6. As illustrated in

TABLE VII

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	total
Buyout	0.057	0.053	0.043	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.031	0.028	0.025	0.023	0.020	0.018	0.300
Venture	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Capital													
Real Estate	0.010	0.026	0.046	0.043	0.039	0.035	0.031	0.027	0.013	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.300
Equity													
Private	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.034	0.039	0.027	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.100
Credit													
Infrastructure	0.027	0.053	0.030	0.030	0.019	0.024	0.016	0.015	0.025	0.023	0.020	0.018	0.300
total	0.094	0.132	0.119	0.107	0.096	0.087	0.078	0.070	0.063	0.057	0.051	0.046	1.000

Table VIII, below, illustrates the investment plan portfolio optimizer **106** generates for period Q3. In Table VIII the columns labeled Q1 and Q2 are in italics and indicate the actual investments that were made during periods Q1 and Q2 and not the proposed investments shown in column Q1 in Table VI and column Q2 in Table VII.

FIGS. **10**A and **10**B, the MPC method adjusts the amount of investment allocations to different asset classes **204** at each period based on the actual investments made during the previous periods.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer **106** may simulate policy **208** that is an MPC policy using a statistical

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	total
Buyout	0.057	0.021	0.050	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.033	0.030	0.027	0.024	0.022	0.019	0.300
Venture	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Capital													
Real Estate	0.010	0.007	0.050	0.045	0.041	0.037	0.033	0.030	0.016	0.012	0.011	0.010	0.300
Equity													
Private	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.041	0.037	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.100
Credit													
Infrastructure	0.027	0.062	0.025	0.027	0.020	0.018	0.016	0.015	0.024	0.024	0.022	0.019	0.300
total	0.094	0.090	0.125	0.133	0.101	0.091	0.082	0.074	0.067	0.060	0.054	0.049	1.000

TABLE VIII

35

model. The statistical model may illustrate how actual investments differ from recommendations made by the MPC policy. For example, actual investments made may be random and vary over a range above and below the planned investments. During the simulation, portfolio optimizer 106 may step through periods $t=1, \ldots, S$ updating the proposed investments with actual investment made, then solve the MPC problem to obtain the next recommendation for proposed investments during the next period. Once period t=S is reached, the investments become fixed. The cash flows of portfolio 206 are then simulated until portfolio reaches period t=T. Portfolio optimizer 106 may repeat the simulation a number of times to achieve a predefined IRR. Cash Flow Shaping with Liquid Investments

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may receive investment amount 202 that is a single contribution for period t=1, i.e., lump funding. In this case, there may be one initial transfer of funds, that is a one-time investment amount **202**, instead of waiting for capital calls to be passed 20 through. The investment amount 202 may be invested in a liquid account or a cash account, and used to fund the capital calls during various periods t by portions of investment amount 202. Portions of investment amounts 202 may be drawn from the account until all of the investment amount 25 202 is called. Once all capital calls have been paid, the remaining funds in the liquid account may be returned as a distribution.

At each period t, portfolio optimizer 106 may track the total future un-called commitments and liquid account value. Based on these two values, the future per-period budgets may be adjusted, as well as the risk level of the liquid account. The risk may be adjusted so that the probability of un-called commitments exceeding the value of the liquid (or cash) account is less than a predefined threshold.

The liquid (or cash) account balance may vary based on the investment returns and the capital calls that have occurred. This fluctuation may be handled using the MPC method by adjusting the per-period budget B_t to be propor- 40 graphs 1102A-1106A may be interrelated, such that movetional to the total current value of the liquid account, minus the un-called commitments, divided by the remaining investment periods S-t+1. In the final investment period t=S, the budget may be set to be equal to the whole value of the liquid account. Thus during each period, the per-period 45 budget is adjusted, depending on the current value of the liquid account and un-called commitments.

Several methods may be used to set the risk level of the liquid (or cash) account in each period. One method keeps an amount equal to the un-called commitments in a cash 50 account. The remainder of the liquid account value is invested in a higher risk, higher return portfolio. Another method selects a portfolio for which the probability of an investment return that renders the liquid account value less than the un-called commitments is less than a predefined 55 threshold. After the last capital call comes in and is paid, the residual amount may be distributed in the liquid account as a distribution.

In another embodiment of cash flow shaping, distributions from cash flows in portfolio 206 may be reinvested during 60 the investment phase. In this case, distributions are reinvested during the investment period, instead of being passing to the investor. The distributions may be collected into a liquid (or cash) account. The account may be used to dynamically increase the per-period budget B_s based on the 65 account value. The liquid account may be used to increase the next period's budget. In this case, the capital calls are

funded from two sources, the investor during each period t and the liquid account, which is funded from previous distributions.

After the investment period t=S, the distributions are passed through to the investor. This method of re-investing distributions can be combined with lump-sum funding. In this case, the liquid account value is decreased by the realized capital calls and increased by the client's original funding, as well as realized distributions, and investment return on the liquid portfolio.

In some embodiments, portfolio optimizer 106 may include a user interface 212. User interface 212 may display graphs of cumulative investment allocations in asset classes 204, generated by portfolio optimizer 106, according to a 15 corresponding risk and corresponding per-period investment allocations in asset classes 204. Additionally, user interface 212 may display graphs, generated by portfolio optimizer 106, that simulate cash flows that are associated with the cumulative investment allocations and the corresponding risk.

In some embodiment, the diagrams of the cumulative investment allocations, per-period investment allocations, and cash flows may be interrelated, such that a change in one diagram may generate instructions that automatically propagate changes to other diagrams in real time.

FIGS. 11A and 11B are diagrams 1100A and 1100B of a user interface, according to some embodiments. Portfolio optimizer 106 may generate one or more user interfaces that includes graphs such as a cumulative investment allocation for a portfolio verses risk aversion graph 1102A, and investment schedule graphs 1104A and 1106A. Graph 1002A may display cumulative investment allocations or a cumulative investment plans for portfolio 206 that corresponds to different risk aversion values. The risk values may be identified along the x-axis of graph 1102A. Investment schedule graphs 1104A and 1106A may display per-period investment allocation in asset classes 204 for the corresponding cumulative investment allocation plan shown in graph 1102A. Graphs 1102A-1106A or the user interfaces that display ment of a computer generated marker, e.g. a cursor, in or over one graph causes portfolio optimizer 106 to initiate computing instructions that cause changes in the other graph(s) to occur in response to the movement of the marker.

Suppose cumulative portfolio investment allocation verses risk aversion graph 1102A illustrates a relationship between a risk value and cumulative investment allocation into various asset classes 204 in portfolio 206 over a time period S. In graph 1102A, the asset classes 204 are buyout, infrastructure, private credit, real estate equity, and venture capital classes. The higher risk value identified along the x-axis of graph **1102**A indicates an allocation of asset classes **204** to portfolio **206** that results in higher cash flows than a lower risk value. A risk line **1108** may indicate a risk value and corresponding cumulative investment allocations in asset classes 204 that correspond to a risk value associated with risk line 1108. Risk line 1108 may be a computer generated marker. Risk line **1108** may be moved using, e.g., a cursor coupled to an input device, from left to right and vice versa along an x-axis to illustrate the cumulative investment allocations to asset classes 204 for different risk values. Graph 1102B in FIG. 11B illustrates a cumulative portfolio investment allocation verses risk aversion graph with the risk line 1108 moved to the right and indicating a cumulative portfolio investment allocation for a higher risk value as compared to the risk value in graph 1102A of FIG. 11A.

Graph **1104**A illustrates a cumulative and per-period investment allocation in asset classes **204** associated with a risk value indicated using risk line **1108**. By examining graph **1102**A, a user may visually recognize that the cumulative investment allocations in the private credit asset class and the buyout asset class may be approximately the same. Similarly the cumulative investment allocations in the infrastructure asset class and the real estate equity asset class are approximately the same.

Each asset class **204** in graph **1104**A is further divided into 10 sections. The sections correspond to per-period investment allocations and may indicate dates associated with different investment periods. The dates indicate an investment schedule during which the investment allocations that may be made in corresponding asset classes **204** during the desig- 15 nated investment periods.

As discussed above, the cumulative portfolio investment allocation verses risk aversion graph 1102A and the investment schedule graph 1104A may be interrelated. Accordingly, in response to a movement of the risk line **1108** along 20 the horizontal axis to a different risk value, the portions of different asset classes 204 illustrated in the investment schedule graph 1104A and the sections indicating the investment periods may change in real-time and in response to the movement of risk line 1108 to reflect the new location of the 25 risk line 1108. For example, suppose the risk line 1108 is moved to the right to a location illustrated in graph 1102B. In response to the new risk value associated with a new location of risk line 1108, graph 1104B may illustrate a new cumulative and per period investment allocation in asset 30 classes 204. Graph 1104B illustrates the cumulative and per-period investment allocations that correspond to a higher risk value. As illustrated in graph 1104B, at the higher risk value, the investment allocations in the real estate equity asset class and the infrastructure asset class may be approxi-35 mately the same. Using the user interface, a user may visually recognize that cumulative investment allocation in the buyout asset class may be approximately one third of investment of other asset classes. The user may also visually recognize that the investment in a private credit asset class 40 decreases for the increased values of risk.

As the risk line 1108 continuously moves to different locations along the x-axis in graphs 1102A-B, the cumulative and per-period asset class allocations in the investment schedule graphs 1104A-B may change in real-time to reflect 45 different values associated with the locations of the risk line **1108.** The cumulative and per-period asset class allocations in graphs 1104A-B may stop changing once the risk line 1108 in graphs 1102A-B stops moving along the x-axis. For example, as the risk line 1108 moves from a location shown 50 in graph 1102A to a location shown in graph 1102B, the cumulative investment allocation of asset classes 204 continuously changes. This causes the cumulative and perperiod investment allocation of asset classes 204 shown in investment schedule graph 1104A to continuously change in 55 real-time. The graph 1104A then displays the continuous changes to cumulative and per-period investment allocation of asset classes 204 as the risk line 1108 travels to the location shown in graph 1102B. The investment schedule graph 1104B stops changing and has a state shown in 60 investment schedule graph 1104B once the risk line 1108 reaches the location in graph 1102B.

Investment schedule graph **1106**A illustrates a per period investment in asset classes **204** associated with a risk value indicated using risk line **1108** over a thirteen time periods 65 (S=13). By examining graph **1106**A, a user may visually recognize that the investment allocations that may be made

to various asset classes **204** during each period. Graph **1106**A for example, illustrates that during the first period (Q1 in 2022), the investment allocation may be made to the buyout, infrastructure, and real estate equity asset classes. Additionally, graph **1106**A may display the total investment allocation that may be made during each period.

As discussed above, the cumulative portfolio investment allocation verses risk aversion graph 1102A and the investment schedule graph 1106A may be interrelated. Accordingly, in response to a movement of the risk line 1108 along the horizontal axis to a different risk value, the allocations made to different asset classes 204 in each period illustrated in the investment schedule graph 1106A may change in real-time to reflect the new location of the risk line 1108. For example, suppose the risk line **1108** is moved to the right to a location illustrated in graph 1102B. In response to the new risk value associated with a new location of risk line 1108, each period in graph 1106B may display a new per period investment allocation in asset classes 204. For example, for a risk value associated with a location of the risk line 1108 in graph 1102A, graph 1106A illustrates that the investment allocation during the first period may be made to the buyout, infrastructure, and real estate equity asset classes. However, for a risk value associated with a location of the risk line 1108 in graph 1102B, graph 1106B illustrates that the investment allocation during the first period may be made only to the buyout asset class.

The information visually depicted in investment schedule graphs **1106**A-B enables a user to visually recognize the per-period investment allocation that may be made during each period in different asset classes **204**.

Notably, as the risk line 1108 continuously moves to different locations along the x-axis in graphs 1102A-B, the investment allocation at each period as shown in investment schedule graphs 1106A-B may continuously change to reflect different allocations of investments to different asset classes 204. The asset class allocations in different periods in graphs 1106A-B may stop changing once the risk line 1108 stops moving along the x-axis. For example, as the risk line 1108 moves from a location shown in graph 1102A to a location shown in graph 1102B, the allocation to asset classes 204 at each period may continuously change in real-time. This causes the allocation of asset classes 204 at each period shown in investment schedule graph 1106A to change in real-time as the risk line 1108 travels to the location associated with graph 1102B. The investment schedule graph 1106B stops changing and has a state shown in investment schedule graph 1106B once the risk line 1108 reaches the location in graph 1102B.

In some embodiments, user interface 212 may also include a cash flow graph. FIG. 12 is a diagram 1200 of a graph illustrating a cash flow generated for a portfolio, according to some embodiments. The cash flow graph 1202 in FIG. 12 illustrates a cash flow, including contributions 1204, distributions 1206, and cash flow 1208 of portfolio **206** that is being invested according to investment schedule in graphs 1102B and 1104B shown in FIG. 11B. In some embodiments, cash flow graph 1202 may also be interrelated with graphs 1102A and 1102B. In other words, as the risk line 1108 continuously moves to different locations along the x-axis in graphs 1102A-B, the contributions 1204, distributions **1206**, and a cash flow **1208** shown in the cash flow graph 1202 may continuously change to reflect different allocations of investments to different asset classes 204 of portfolio 206 based on the location of risk line 208. The contributions 1204, distributions 1206, and cash flow 1208

10

of portfolio **206** may stop changing once the risk line **1108** stops moving along the x-axis.

FIG. 13 is a diagram of a method 1300 for simulating a portfolio according to some embodiments. One or more of the processes 1302-1310 of method 1300 may be implemented, at least in part, in the form of executable code stored on non-transitory, tangible, machine-readable media that when run by one or more processors may cause the one or more processors to perform one or more of the processes 1302-1310.

At operation **1302**, cash flow parameters are determined. As discussed above, the cash flow parameters may include MOIC, WAL, NPV, and IRR parameters. Further, as discussed above, the cash flow parameters may be based, in part, on capital calls c^{call} and distributions c^{dist} that occur 15 over a time period T and are discussed with respect to Equations (1) through (10). The NPV and IRR parameters may also be based, in part, on an interest rate r. The cash flow parameters may have different values for different asset classes **204**. In some embodiments, the MOIC parameter 20 may also include a mean and standard deviation of MOIC to account for uncertainty in the cash flow that may be determined using a log-normal distribution of MOIC.

At operation **1304**, cash flow templates are determined from the cash flow parameters. As discussed above, cash 25 flow templates **210** for asset classes **204** may be determined, in part, from the cash flow parameters. Equations (11) through (14) describe how the cash flow templates **210** are determined. The cash flow templates **210** may have different values of the cash flow parameters. Cash flow templates may 30 further include cash flow parameters such as MOIC M, μ^{call} representing an approximate time when half of the capital has been called, σ^{call} representing dispersion in time the capital calls, μ^{dist} representing the approximate time when half of the distributions have been made, and σ^{dist} repre- 35 senting the dispersion in time of the distributions.

At operation **1306**, cash flows for a portfolio are determined from the cash flow templates. As discussed above, portfolio optimizer **106** may simulate cash flows for portfolio **206** given an investment amount **202** for different cash 40 flow templates **210**. The cash flow templates **210** may vary depending on different values of the cash flow parameters and interest rate. As discussed above, to determine cash flows, portfolio optimizer **106** may use Monte Carlo simulations. 45

At operation 1308, a portfolio may be simulated. As discussed above. Markowitz optimization may optimize portfolio 206 by generating investment plans for various cash flows determined in operation 1306 as a function of a risk aversion parameter. For example, portfolio optimizer 50 106 may determine determining a portion of investment amount 202 that may be allocated to each asset class in asset classes 204 for portfolio 206 to generate cash flows determined in operation 1306. In some instances, portfolio optimizer 106 may optimize portfolio 206 using a one-time 55 investment amount 202. In this case, portfolio optimizer 106 may generate a cumulative investment plan for portfolio 206 based on a one-time investment. In other instances, portfolio optimizer 106 may optimize portfolio 206 using multiperiod optimization where a portion of investment amount 60 202 may be allocated in different asset classes 204 over multiple periods. In this embodiment, operations 1306 and 1308 may repeat during each period. In this case, portfolio optimizer 106 may generate a cumulative investment plan for portfolio 206 and per-period investment plan that allo- 65 cations portions of investment amount 202 during each period to different asset classes 204. Portfolio optimizer 106

may repeat the above process to generate various cumulative investment plans that maximize a cash flow for portfolio **206** for different values of the risk aversion parameter.

At operation **1310**, portfolio simulations are displayed. For example, user interface **212** may display graphs that illustrate the cumulative investment plans in asset classes **204** for different risk values and also per-period investment plans for the multi-period investment allocations. Additionally, the user interface **212** may display the cash flows for the corresponding cumulative investment plans. As discussed above, the user interface may display the cumulative and per-period investment plans using interrelated graphs. In this way, when portfolio **206** is being simulated using different cumulative investment plans as a function of risk, the other graphs may display changes to cash flows and per-period investment allocations that are caused by the changes to the cumulative investment plans.

FIG. 14 is a block diagram of a computer system 1400 suitable for implementing one or more components performing one or more processes, according to an embodiment. In various embodiments, the communication device may comprise a personal computing device (e.g., smart phone, a computing tablet, a personal computer, laptop, a wearable computing device such as glasses or a watch, Bluetooth device, key FOB, badge, etc.) capable of communicating with the network. The service provider may utilize a network computing device (e.g., a network server) capable of communicating with the network. It should be appreciated that each of the devices utilized by users and service providers may be implemented as computer system 1400 in a manner as follows. Computer system 1400 may be incorporated into devices discussed in FIG. 1.

The computer system 1400 includes a bus 1412 or other communication mechanism for communicating information data, signals, and information between various components of the computer system 1400. The components include an input/output (I/O) component 1404 that processes a user (i.e., sender, recipient, service provider) action, such as selecting keys from a keypad/keyboard, selecting one or more buttons or links, etc., and sends a corresponding signal to the bus 1412. The I/O component 1404 may also include an output component, such as a display 1402 and a cursor control 1408 (such as a keyboard, keypad, mouse, etc.). The display 1402 may be configured to present a login page for logging into a user account or a checkout page for purchasing an item from a merchant. An optional audio input/output component 1406 may also be included to allow a user to use voice for inputting information by converting audio signals. The audio I/O component 1406 may allow the user to hear audio. A transceiver or network interface 1420 transmits and receives signals between the computer system 1400 and other devices, such as another user device, a merchant server, or a service provider server via network 102. In one embodiment, the transmission is wireless, although other transmission mediums and methods may also be suitable. A processor 1414, which can be a micro-controller, digital signal processor (DSP), or other processing component, processes these various signals, such as for display on the computer system 1400 or transmission to other devices via a communication link 1424. The processor 1414 may also control transmission of information, such as cookies or IP addresses, to other devices.

The components of the computer system **1400** also include a system memory component **1410** (e.g., RAM), a static storage component **1416** (e.g., ROM), and/or a disk drive **1418** (e.g., a solid-state drive, a hard drive). The computer system **1400** performs specific operations by the

processor **1414** and other components by executing one or more sequences of instructions contained in the system memory component **1410**.

Logic may be encoded in a computer readable medium, which may refer to any medium that participates in providing instructions to the processor **1414** for execution. Such a medium may take many forms, including but not limited to, non-volatile media, volatile media, and transmission media. In various implementations, non-volatile media includes optical or magnetic disks, volatile media includes dynamic 10 memory, such as the system memory component **1410**, and transmission media includes coaxial cables, copper wire, and fiber optics, including wires that comprise the bus **1412**. In one embodiment, the logic is encoded in non-transitory computer readable medium. In one example, transmission 15 media may take the form of acoustic or light waves, such as those generated during radio wave, optical, and infrared data communications.

Some common forms of computer readable media include, for example, floppy disk, flexible disk, hard disk, 20 magnetic tape, any other magnetic medium, CD-ROM, any other optical medium, punch cards, paper tape, any other physical medium with patterns of holes, RAM, PROM, EPROM, FLASH-EPROM, any other memory chip or cartridge, or any other medium from which a computer is 25 adapted to read.

In various embodiments of the present disclosure, execution of instruction sequences to practice the present disclosure may be performed by the devices in system **100**. In various other embodiments of the present disclosure, a 30 plurality of computer systems **1400** coupled by the communication link **1424** to the network (e.g., such as a LAN, WLAN, PTSN, and/or various other wired or wireless networks, including telecommunications, mobile, and cellular phone networks) may perform instruction sequences to 35 practice the present disclosure in coordination with one another.

Where applicable, various embodiments provided by the present disclosure may be implemented using hardware, software, or combinations of hardware and software. Also, 40 where applicable, the various hardware components and/or software components set forth herein may be combined into composite components comprising software, hardware, and/ or both without departing from the spirit of the present disclosure. Where applicable, the various hardware compo-45 nents and/or software components comprising software, hardware, and/ware, or both without departing from the scope of the present disclosure. In addition, where applicable, it is contemplated that software components may be implemented as 50 hardware components and vice-versa.

Software in accordance with the present disclosure, such as program code and/or data, may be stored on one or more computer readable mediums. It is also contemplated that software identified herein may be implemented using one or 55 more general purpose or specific purpose computers and/or computer systems, networked and/or otherwise. Where applicable, the ordering of various steps described herein may be changed, combined into composite steps, and/or separated into sub-steps to provide features described 60 herein.

The various features and steps described herein may be implemented as systems comprising one or more memories storing various information described herein and one or more processors coupled to the one or more memories and 65 a network, wherein the one or more processors are operable to perform steps as described herein, as non-transitory

machine-readable medium comprising a plurality of machine-readable instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, are adapted to cause the one or more processors to perform a method comprising steps described herein, and methods performed by one or more devices, such as a hardware processor, user device, server, and other devices described herein.

What is claimed is:

- **1**. A method for simulating a portfolio, the method comprising:
- providing, to a processor, a plurality of cash flow parameters:
- generating, by the processor, cash flow templates from the plurality of cash flow parameters;
- generating, by the processor, cash flows for a plurality of asset classes in the portfolio from the cash flow templates and an investment amount;
- generating, by the processor and using a Markowitz optimization, the cash flows, and a risk parameter, a plurality of investment allocations from the investment amount to the plurality of asset classes in the portfolio, wherein the plurality of investment allocations to the plurality of asset classes are generated to maximize a cash flow of the portfolio for a corresponding value of the risk parameter, wherein the Markowitz optimization generated the plurality of investment allocations maximize a net present value of the portfolio for a corresponding risk parameter, wherein the net present value of the portfolio is a function of a multiple of invested capital (MOIC) cash flow parameter;
- causing to be displayed, in a first user interface, a first graph displaying the plurality of investment allocations to the plurality of asset classes in the portfolio as a function of risk;
- causing to be displayed, in a second user interface, a second graph displaying a cash flow of the portfolio that corresponds to one set of the plurality of investment allocations;
- receiving an input that continuously moves a computergenerated marker along a risk axis in the first graph of the first user interface;
- selecting, in response to the continuous movement of the computer-generated marker on the first user interface, different sets of the plurality of investment allocations;
- initiating, in response to the continuous movement of the computer-generated marker on the first user interface, computing instructions that cause the cash flow displayed in the second graph of the second user interface to update in real-time and in correspondence with the movement of the computer-generated marker on the first user interface until the computer-generated marker stops moving and stops selecting different sets of the plurality of investment allocations;
- causing to be displayed, on a third user interface, a cash flow for a selected set of the plurality of investment allocations; and
- changing the cash flow in the third user interface in response to the movement of the computer-generated marker along the risk axis of the first user interface.

2. The method of claim **1**, wherein the plurality of cash flow parameters are based on capital calls and capital distributions associated with the plurality of asset classes.

3. The method of claim **2**, wherein at least one cash flow parameter is based on a ratio of the capital calls to the capital distributions of the plurality of asset classes.

4. The method of claim **2**, wherein at least one cash flow parameter is based on a shape of the capital calls or the capital distributions over time.

5. The method of claim **1**, wherein values of cash flow parameters in the plurality of cash flow parameters associated with one asset class are different from values of other cash flow parameters in the plurality of cash flow parameters associated with other asset classes in the plurality of asset classes.

6. The method of claim **1**, wherein a cash flow template ¹⁰ in the plurality of cash flow templates is defined using different cash flow parameters in the plurality of cash flow parameters than other cash flow templates in the plurality of cash flow templates. ¹⁵

7. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

generating the MOIC cash flow parameter from the cash flow parameters using a log-normal distribution; and

generating the cash flows for the plurality of asset classes from the cash flow templates and the investment ²⁰ amount by varying the MOIC cash flow parameter and fixing other cash flow parameters in the plurality of cash flow parameters.

8. The method of claim **1**, further comprising: simulating the cash flow of the portfolio by:

normalizing the cash flows for the plurality of asset classes, one cash flow for one asset class; and

adding the normalized cash flows.

9. The method of claim **1**, wherein the plurality of ³⁰ investment allocations include one investment allocation for one asset class and the one investment allocation includes a minimum and maximum amounts to be invested in the one asset class.

10. The method of claim **1**, wherein the MOIC cash flow parameter is for the cash flows and an interest rate.

11. The method of claim **1**, wherein the cash flows for the plurality of asset classes in the portfolio are generated over a first predefined number of periods for an investment period 40 that spans a second predefined number of periods.

12. The method of claim **11**, wherein generating the plurality of investment allocations further comprises:

for each period in the first predefined number of periods, generating a subset of investment allocations in the plurality of investment allocations to different asset classes in the plurality of asset classes.

13. The method of claim **12**, wherein the subset of investment allocations are constrained by a minimum invest- $_{50}$ ment amount and a maximum investment amount to be invested during each period.

14. The method of claim **12**, wherein the subset of investment allocations are constrained by a minimum investment amount and a maximum investment amount to be ⁵⁵ invested in each asset class in the plurality of asset classes over the first predefined number of periods.

15. The method of claim **12**, wherein the subset of investment allocations made during each period is a fixed portion of the investment amount.

16. The method of claim **11**, further comprising:

generating a cumulative investment plan for the portfolio, wherein the cumulative investment plan includes subsets of investment allocations in the plurality of asset 65 classes for each period during the first predefined number of periods.

17. A system for simulating a portfolio, the system comprising:

- a memory configured to store a portfolio optimizer; and a processor coupled to the memory and configured to
- cause the portfolio optimizer to perform operations, the operations comprising:

accessing cash flow parameters;

- generating cash flow templates from the cash flow parameters;
- generating, for each period, cash flows for a plurality of asset classes of the portfolio from the cash flow templates;
- generating, using a Markowitz optimization, the cash flows, and a risk parameter, commitment allocation plans for the portfolio, wherein the commitment allocation plans indicate corresponding investment amounts to be invested in at least some of the plurality of asset classes during each period and wherein the Markowitz optimization generated commitment allocation plans maximize a net present value of the portfolio for a corresponding risk parameter, wherein the net present value of the portfolio is a function of a multiple of invested capital (MOIC) cash flow parameter;
- causing to be displayed, in a first user interface, a first graph displaying the commitment allocation plans as a function of risk;
- receiving an input that selects, on the first user interface, one of the commitment allocation plans;
- in response to the input, causing to be displayed, on a second user interface, a second graph displaying an investment amount to be invested in the at least some of the plurality of asset classes during each period that corresponds to the selected one of the commitment allocation plans;
- receiving input that continuously moves a computergenerated marker along a risk axis in the first graph of the first user interface, wherein the movement of the computer-generated marker modifies a selection of the one of the commitment allocation plans in the first graph;
- initiating, in response to the continuous movement of the computer-generated marker on the first user interface, computing instructions that cause realtime updates on the second graph of the second user interface to the investment amount for each period and in correspondence with the continuous movement of the computer-generated marker until the computer generated marker stops moving, wherein the investment amount is associated with the modified selection of the one of the commitment allocation plans;
- causing to be displayed, on a third user interface, a cash flow for the selected one of the commitment allocation plans; and
- changing the cash flow in the third user interface in response to the movement of the computer-generated marker along the risk axis of the first user interface.

18. A system for simulating a portfolio, the system 60 comprising:

- a memory configured to store a portfolio optimizer; and a processor coupled to the memory and configured to cause the portfolio optimizer to perform operations, the operations comprising:
 - accessing a plurality of cash flow parameters, wherein values of the plurality of cash flow parameters are different for a plurality of asset classes;

25

generating cash flow templates from the plurality of cash flow parameters;

- generating cash flows for the plurality of asset classes of the portfolio from the cash flow templates and an investment amount, wherein the cash flows are dif-⁵ ferent for each of the plurality of asset classes;
- generating, using a Markowitz optimization and the cash flows, a plurality of investment allocations from the investment amount to the plurality of asset classes in the portfolio, wherein the Markowitz optimization generated investment allocations maximize a net present value of the portfolio for a corresponding risk parameter, wherein the net present value of the portfolio is a function of a multiple of invested capital (MOIC) cash flow parameter;
- displaying the plurality of investment allocations in the portfolio as a function of risk using a first user interface;
- displaying a cash flow for the portfolio that corresponds 20 to one of the plurality of investment allocations on a second user interface;

30

- receiving input that continuously moves a computergenerated marker along a risk axis in a first graph of the first user interface, wherein the movement of the computer-generated marker selects different sets of the plurality of investment allocations;
- in response to the continuous movement of the computergenerated marker in the first user interface, initiating computing instructions that cause the second user interface to modify the cash flow for the portfolio in real-time and in correspondence with the movement of the computer-generated marker along the risk axis in the first graph of the first user interface until the computer-generated marker stops moving and stops selecting different sets of investment allocations in the plurality of investment allocations;
- causing to be displayed, on a third user interface, a cash flow for a selected set of the investment allocations; and
- changing the cash flow in the third user interface in response to the movement of the computer-generated marker along the risk axis of the first user interface.

* * * * *